

**Supplementary material: models of the logistic regression**

*Model 1 tested for multiple logistic regression*

	<b>OR</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Nagelkerke squared index</b>	<b>R-</b>
<b>Gender (male)</b>	2.7	0.252-29.96	0.406		
<b>Atherosclerotic plaque (yes)</b>	18.4	1.410-241.58	0.026	0.572	
<b>Spine T-score</b>	0.453	0.226-0.909	0.026		
<b>Waist circumference</b>	1.061	0.989-1.137	0.097		

*Model 2 tested for multiple logistic regression\**

	<b>OR</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Nagelkerke squared index</b>	<b>R-</b>
<b>Gender (male)</b>	1.79	0.215-14.86	0.591		
<b>Atherosclerotic plaque (yes)</b>	13.35	1.154-154.34	0.038	0.576	
<b>Waist circumference</b>	1.069	0.993-1.151	0.075		
<b>Osteoporosis (yes)</b>	11.30	1.547-82.562	0.017		

*Model 3 tested for multiple logistic regression*

	<b>OR</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Nagelkerke squared index</b>	<b>R-</b>
<b>Gender (male)</b>	2.04	0.248-16.83	0.507		
<b>Waist circumference</b>	1.08	1.002-1.177	0.044	0.574	
<b>Osteoporosis</b>	15.50	1.81-132.84	0.012		
<b>IMT Cc</b>	25.26	0.76-845.76	0.071		

*Model 4 tested for multiple logistic regression*

	<b>OR</b>	<b>95% CI</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Nagelkerke squared index</b>	<b>R-</b>
<b>Gender (male)</b>	3.91	0.400-38.34	0.241		
<b>Waist circumference</b>	1.07	0.996-1.151	0.066	0.560	
<b>Spine T-score</b>	0.437	0.229-0.833	0.012		
<b>IMT Cc</b>	33.05	1.165-937.60	0.040		

\*Model with the best predictive potency